



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,249	07/29/2003	Weijing Chen	P23830	5443
7055 7590 06/23/2008 GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191				
EXAMINER IBRAHIM, MOIAMED				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2144				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/23/2008		ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com  
pto@gbpatent.com

**Continuation Sheet**

Applicant, in substance, argues,

- A) DOHANUE does not teach or suggest forwarding a data packet addressed with both a first IP address and a second IP address.

In response to argument A) Applicant is reminded that claims are given their broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the specification without reading any limitations into the claims. As such DOHANUE indeed teaches forwarding a data packets addressed with both a first IP address and a second IP address. The claims as currently recited do not mention whether said address is complaint or whether they have to be same type of address. It just states that they have to be from the network. As such figure 5 of DONAHUE shows a host transmitting request packet routed to a public network. The transmitted packet includes both source IP address and destination IP address coming from the host (subscriber) and is received by the gateway which assigns public IP address and transmits it. Furthermore, as an evidence of the broadness of the claim limitations, the claim states forwarding a data packet addressed with both a first IP address and a second IP address without any mention of where to. This can also be interpreted as an internal communication within a single network. Therefore, Applicant clearly intends to claim broadly and as such Examiner gives the claims their broadest reasonable interpretation. In order to move the prosecution forward, Applicant is

Art Unit: 2144

advised to amend the base claims and include specific and detailed features of their true invention.